

Annex to EJD WWU.11 – LTS AGI (E&I): Offtakes, PRI's and Storage

1.0 Introduction

This annex document provides additional supplementary information, specifically for Electrical and Instrumentation assets, in support of EJD WWU.11 – LTS AGI (E&I): Offtakes, PRI's and Storage. The content and structure has been developed based on the feedback we've gratefully received in bilateral discussions with the Ofgem Engineering Assessment team.

2.0 December 2024 Business Plan Submission Summary

We submitted our Asset Health Engineering Justification Framework Document EJD WWU.11 in December 2024. The document included a description of the Electrical and Instrumentation assets that require intervention in RIIO-GD3. This covers LTS AGIs and the Distribution Pressure Management Control Equipment at District Governors and across the distribution system monitoring and controlling pressure. Our plan was derived following assessment of the risk relating to each asset, whether that be obsolescence, condition, network supply resilience or legislative compliance.

Our RIIO-GD3 workload and the associated costs and driver are detailed in Table 1 below:

Submission	Asset Type	Intervention Driver	RIIO:GD3 Submission	
			Volume Requested	Cost of Intervention
LTS AGI E&I	MAINS AND SUB MAINS DISTRIBUTION	Condition	23	
LTS AGI E&I	LIGHTING	Condition	42	
LTS AGI E&I	MAINS INTAKE	Condition	24	
LTS AGI E&I	SECURITY CCTV INSTALLATION	Condition	5	
LTS AGI E&I	GENERATOR STANDBY POWER SYSTEM	Condition	3	
LTS AGI E&I	LGT SYSTEM	Condition	5	
LTS AGI E&I	GAS CHROMOTOGROPHY	Condition	1	
LTS AGI E&I	FIELD INSTRUMENT	Condition	52	
LTS AGI E&I	TELEMETRY & BARRIER SYSTEM	Obsolescence	52	
LTS AGI E&I	E/P CONTROLLER	Obsolescence	20	
LTS AGI E&I	FLOW COMPUTER	Obsolescence	8	
LTS AGI E&I	IND COMPUTER	Obsolescence	7	
LTS AGI E&I	UPS SYSTEMS (LARGE)	Condition	5	
LTS AGI E&I	FISCAL METERING	Condition	5	
LTS AGI E&I	Type 2 SPD Installations	Safety & Compliance	50	
LTS AGI E&I	Replace Gas Chromatograph Secondary Instrumentation	Condition	5	
LTS AGI E&I	SCOFF Sites to SCADA	Supply Resilience	15	
LTS AGI E&I	UPS System Battery Replacements	Condition	60	
LTS AGI E&I	Telemetry HMI Replacement	Condition	20	
LTS AGI E&I	GPRS Replacement (2G/3G Comms)	Obsolescence	180	
LTS AGI E&I	Satellite Routers Replacement	Obsolescence	100	
LTS AGI E&I	NET 0 - Smart Systems	Biomethane Entry	19	
LTS AGI E&I	Field Asset Equipment Failures	Condition	10	
LTS AGI E&I	Heat Exchanger Burst Disc Replacements	Condition	60	
LTS AGI E&I	Solar Panel Installations	Environmental	5	
LTS AGI E&I	P4T & P6T Instrument Impulse Valve replacements	Safety & Compliance	18	
LTS AGI E&I	Sixnet Telemetry TRU Replacements	Obsolescence	160	
		TOTAL	954	
<7Bar PM	DG ELECTRICAL REBUILD	Condition	5	
<7Bar PM	PRESSURE MANAGEMENT EQUIPMENT	Condition	1580	
<7Bar PM	VALIDATION LOGGERS	Condition	180	

Table 1

We explored multiple options for managing assets to arrive at an optimum plan that considers asset health, consequence of failure, whole life cost, compliance with legislation and HSE expectations. In addition, a plan which responds proportionately to the cyber threat landscape changes and deals in a proportionate way with the increase in obsolescence of Electrical and Instrumentation equipment.

3.0 WWU Draft Determination

3.1 Electrical and Instrumentation Overview

In Ofgem's Draft Determinations consultation the proposed outcome for LTS AGI (E&I): Offtakes, PRI's and Storage was noted as 'Unjustified' with a 'Medium Confidence' in scope, and our proposed workload and associated costs were disallowed. The detail noted that "Proposed volumes are not sufficiently justified to support inclusion in our draft determinations. A direct correlation between site surveys and intervention volumes should be established alongside a plan for managing the workforce for the proposed increased volumes. Asset health data is required to verify need for intervention."

This Annex document aims to provide the additional data and more detailed explanations to support the case for including the workload volumes from our original submission in the Final Determinations. We also include copies of correspondence from Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) to support the investment case.

The following sections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4t provide a further breakdown of the proposed workloads, along with supporting data and justifications.

3.2 Electrical and Instrumentation Obsolescence driven work

Our intervention programme in RIIO-GD3 focuses more on electrical and instrumentation obsolescence than in RIIO GD2 when this was not a significant driver for investment. Table 2 and 3 below provides a relative comparison between price controls.

LTS AGI Intervention Driver	GD2 Cost	GD2 % Cost	GD3 Cost	GD3 % Cost
Obsolescence	£0	0%		20%
Condition, Safety & Compliance	£14,427,290	100%		76%
Biomethane Entry	£0	0%		2%
Environmental	£0	0%		1%
Network Resilience	£0	0%		1%
	£14,427,290	100.00%		100.00%

Table 2

LTS AGI Intervention Driver	Average Vol per control	GD2 Vol	GD2% Vol	GD3 Vol	GD3% Vol
Obsolescence	N/A	0	0%	532	56%
Condition, Safety & Compliance	583	521	100%	383	40%
Biomethane Entry	0	0	0%	19	2%
Environmental	0	0	0%	5	1%
Network Resilience	0	0	0%	15	2%
	583	521	100.00%	954	100.00%

Table 3

This comparison shows that the workload and cost associated with Condition, Safety and Compliance has reduced between GD2 and GD3 (from 521 projects to 383 projects) but there is an overall increase in total workload and cost due to the addition of 532 projects that are directly attributed to addressing obsolescence.

Electrical and Instrumentation equipment obsolescence has steadily increased through RIIO GD2 driven by two factors: availability of precious metals required to produce certain types of microchips; and OEM's choosing to retire legacy equipment in order to bolster sales of new equipment post-COVID. Copies of correspondence from OEMs in respect to making certain equipment obsolete is included in Appendix K-N.

In the Draft Determinations we were asked how we plan to manage the workforce for the proposed increased workload volume from 521 work items in GD2 to 954 work items in GD3. As already stated above, the increase is associated with obsolescence and it should be noted that this work typically takes up to one or two days to complete, with a significant proportion of the cost being the equipment. By contrast Electrical or Instrumentation rebuilds to address Condition, Safety and Compliance, where the work duration is much longer ranging from several days to a number of weeks in some cases.

We have detailed resource models into which we input workload volumes and work location across the network. These models then accurately forecast resources needed to deliver the full workload mix, based on target times for each work activity. This has confirmed that the reduction in Condition, Safety and Compliance intervention workload will free up adequate resources to accommodate the increase in obsolescence workload.

It should be noted that a further workload of 5000 smart locks was incorrectly included in the BPDT workload for this area. This workload is part of our physical security upgrade programme and will not be delivered by E&I resources.

At the Bilateral meeting between Ofgem and WWU on 5th August 2025, we were asked to explain how we had determined the proportion of obsolete equipment planned for replacement in GD3 for each equipment type. Table 4 below provides an overview of the equipment and volumes to be replaced in RIIO GD3 under equipment obsolescence.

LTS AGI Obsolescence Work	Average Age	Lifecycle Duration Years	Inventory Numbers	GD3 Vol	GD3% Vol of Inventory
TELEMETRY & BARRIER SYSTEM	2014	15	307	52	17%
FLOW COMPUTER	2005	15	17	8	47%
IND COMPUTER	2005	15	16	7	44%
GPRS Replacement (2G/3G Comms)	2012	15	307	180	59%
Satellite Routers Replacement	2012	15	307	100	33%
Sixnet Telemetry RTU Replacements	2012	15	215	160	74%
E/P CONTROLLER	2005	15	20	20	100%
UPS SYSTEMS (LARGE)	2014	15	24	5	21%

Table 4

From the average age of this equipment it can be seen that much of it is nearing or beyond the end of its expected asset life, typically around 15 years, some of which is still operating to an acceptable level with only occasional faults. Due to the nature of these pieces of equipment, they don't necessarily show signs of imminent failure that can be demonstrated through asset health or fault data, they will in most cases operate effectively until the point of failure. Our approach is therefore based on addressing the risk of continuing to operate obsolete equipment and the consequence of those failures when they occur. If this risk is not addressed, then in the event of failure where a direct replacement can no longer be sourced,

there will be extended outages and more extensive and costly one-off interventions to remediate with new components due to the requirement to redesign the system for the different components.

We could have chosen to replace the whole inventory for each asset in RIIO GD3, whilst this would completely remove the obsolescence risk for a particular asset, it would not demonstrate best return on investment by failing to utilise the remaining asset life. Our strategy is therefore to follow a risk-based approach to replace a proportion in RIIO-GD3, releasing spares to exchange with the remaining population should they fail. This has two distinct advantages: making use of the remaining asset life for the newer items in the population; and spreading workload and costs over several price controls. The percentage replacement rate for each type of equipment has been based on criticality, risk, failure data (where it exists) and difficulty / time to replace a given asset.

An example where we are experiencing significant failure rates is E/P (Electronic to Pneumatic) Controllers, these components are key to controlling volumetric regulators on our most critical sites, they are also relatively low cost items to replace. As such we are planning replacement of 100% of this type of equipment in GD3 to mitigate the risk.

By contrast Flow Computers have a relatively low failure rate, but are complex and costly to replace with a fully OEM supported alternative. These items usually show no signs of imminent failure in advance of a failure occurring, if an identical unit is available to switch for the failed unit this is a low risk, but now they are obsolete this is a high risk. As such we are planning to replace around half of the population in GD3, and the removed items will be held as spares, providing exchange items for the other sites. This approach will spread the workload and cost associated with engineering out obsolete Flow Computers across GD3 and GD4, delivering better value to the consumer.

The proposed replacement volumes for GD3 are also influenced by the fact that for some items replacement has already begun in GD2. An example of this is the GPRS units on telemetry units, where during telemetry rebuilds in the latter part of GD2 we have already started replacing the obsolete units with a fully OEM supported alternative. It should be noted that waiting for all obsolete units to be naturally replaced as part of full telemetry rebuilds will not address obsolescence quickly enough, hence including a targeted programme of replacement outside of the infrequent full rebuild programme.

A further benefit of replacing some of the obsolete items is that it will improve the security architecture (the practice of designing systems, policies, and in this case technologies to protect IT and business assets from cyber threats) for the given set of Networkable Information System (NIS) assets. We are obligated to ensure these systems are suitably hardened in alignment with the Cyber Assessment Framework (CAF). Table 5 lists these items, and the proportion of the obsolescence investment linked to this benefits case.

LTS AGI Obsolescence Work	GD3 Cost	GD3 % Cost
TELEMETRY & BARRIER SYSTEM		24%
FLOW COMPUTER		17%
IND COMPUTER		3%
GPRS Replacement (2G/3G Comms)		3%
Satellite Routers Replacement		8%
Sixnet Telemetry RTU Replacements		30%
		84.60%

Table 5

The purpose of the equipment identified as obsolete and the consequence of failures are highlighted in table 6. All will impact our ability to fulfil our responsibilities as a Gas Transporter, both in terms of reporting and network control, incident response management and overall gas network resilience.

LTS AGI Obsolescence Work	Equipment	Purpose	Consequence
TELEMETRY & BARRIER SYSTEM	Various Ex Barrier Chassis, network Hubs and equipment	Remote site data acquisition in to SCADA, field asset safety and conditioning.	Loss of visibility & alarming of key process parameters at site back to SCADA
FLOW COMPUTER	OMNI 6000 Flow Computer	Volumetric control (line storage), Fiscal Gas energy Calculation, Local Gas Treatment odorant control.	Inability to control proportionally odorant injection. Loss of line pack control at site. Unable to provide reliable regulatory Gas energy data (Fiscal Metering)
IND COMPUTER	Siemens Microbox / Nanobox	Fiscal Gas energy Calculation	Unable to provide reliable regulatory Gas energy data (Fiscal Metering)
GPRS Replacement (2G/3G Comms)	Various (Retirement of 3G Comms)	Communication resilience to remote sites.	Loss of visibility & alarming of key process parameters at site back to SCADA
Satellite Routers Replacement	I-direct Satellite router	Communication resilience to remote sites.	Loss of visibility & alarming of key process parameters at site back to SCADA
Sixnet Telemetry RTU Replacements	Sixnet Redlion RTU's (Sixtrak & Versatrak)	Remote site data acquisition including some alarm functioning back to SCADA.	Loss of visibility & alarming of key process parameters at site back to SCADA
E/P CONTROLLER	Watson Smith 422 E/P Controller	Allow volumetric control at sites for pipeline storage and pressure control	Inability to provide volumetric storage for peak Gas demands.
UPS SYSTEMS (LARGE)	Various mostly Bennings	Back up power at site providing a resilient controlled Gas network.	Loss of visibility & alarming of key process parameters at site back to SCADA and loss of supplies to Odorant and Fiscal Systems

Table 6

3.3 Electrical and Instrumentation LTS Legislative compliance driven work

Tables 7 below illustrates that £[REDACTED] of our proposed GD3 investment is to ensure our E&I assets are maintained in an acceptable condition, to ensure the safety of our employees and members of the public, as well as deliver compliance with the relevant electrical and Dangerous substances Explosive Atmospheres regulations. This workload is separate from the interventions described above to address obsolescence. This investment is less than the projected outturn in RIIO GD2 of £[REDACTED].

LTS AGI Intervention Driver	GD2 Cost	GD2 % Cost	GD3 Cost	GD3 % Cost
Obsolescence	£0	0%		20%
Condition, Safety & Compliance	£14,427,290	100%		76%
Biomethane Entry	£0	0%		2%
Environmental	£0	0%		1%
Network Resilience	£0	0%		1%
	£14,427,290	100.00%		100.00%

Table 7

It should be noted that the table included in our Engineering Justification Document, repeated below as Figure 1, incorrectly quoted the RIIO GD2 cost for the equivalent workload as £[REDACTED]. This cost was the proposed investment in our GD2 Business Plan in 2018/19 prices, and we should instead have noted in our forecast GD2 outturn costs (£[REDACTED]).

LTS AGI E&I Workload	RIIO-GD2		RIIO-GD3	
	Cost (£)	Workload (No. of Projects)	Cost (£)	Workload (No. of Projects)
Replacement	£8.98m	521	£16.2m	954

Figure 1

Workload proposed, outside of obsolescence, is lower in RIIO GD3 than in GD2 (table 8), but we will be targeting some of the larger sites as compared to our GD2 programme. These sites have much more E&I equipment and thus the materials costs are significantly higher, so the unit cost is around 28% higher in GD3.

LTS AGI Intervention Driver	Average Vol per control	GD2 Vol	GD2% Vol	GD3 Vol	GD3% Vol
Obsolescence	N/A	0	0%	532	56%
Condition, Safety & Compliance	583	521	100%	383	40%
Biomethane Entry	0	0	0%	19	2%
Environmental	0	0	0%	5	1%
Network Resilience	0	0	0%	15	2%
	583	521	100.00%	954	100.00%

Table 8

A further question raised in the Draft Determination was how the numbers of maintenance routines (Table 4 of EJP) and Asset audits (Table 3 of EJP) relate to intervention numbers and asset health.

Table 9 and gives an overview of intervention workload volumes based on a simple lifecycle replacement analysis, showing the average volume that would be due for replacement in the GD3 period based on this approach. The table then shows how this compares to the actual workload included in our GD3 plan, which is based on our experience of workload we have identified through our inspection and maintenance programme over previous price control periods, which has been used to generate our forecast.

As can be seen from the negative variance, in most cases our replacement workload is less than it would be based on a simple lifecycle approach.

Submission	Asset Type	Intervention Driver	Asset Data				Average replacement per Price control		RIIO:GD3 Submission		Variance	
			Asset Numbers	Average Age	Lifecycle	Price Control Duration	Volume per control	% per Control	Volume Requested	% per Control	Volume Diff	% Diff
LT AGI E&I	MAINS AND SUB MAINS DISTRIBUTION	Condition	307	2013	25	5	61	20%	23	7%	-38	-13%
LT AGI E&I	LIGHTING	Condition	307	2013	20	5	77	25%	42	14%	-35	-11%
LT AGI E&I	MAINS INTAKE	Condition	307	2013	25	5	61	20%	24	8%	-37	-12%
LT AGI E&I	SECURITY CCTV INSTALLATION	Condition	20	2013	15	5	7	33%	5	25%	-2	-8%
LT AGI E&I	GENERATOR STANDBY POWER SYSTEM	Condition	35	2014	15	5	12	33%	3	9%	-9	-25%
LT AGI E&I	LGT SYSTEM	Condition	17	2014	20	5	4	25%	5	29%	1	4%
LT AGI E&I	GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY	Condition	16	2019	20	5	4	25%	1	6%	-3	-19%
LT AGI E&I	FIELD INSTRUMENT	Condition	307	2016	15	5	102	33%	52	17%	-50	-16%
LT AGI E&I	FISCAL METERING	Condition	17	2012	15	5	6	33%	5	29%	-1	-4%
LT AGI E&I	Type 2 SPD Installations	Safety & Compliance	307	N/A	25	5	61	100%	50	100%	-11	0%
LT AGI E&I	Replace Gas Chromatograph Secondary Instru	Condition	16	2019	15	5	5	33%	5	31%	0	-2%
LT AGI E&I	SCOFF Sites to SCADA	Supply Resilience	0	N/A	15	5	0	0%	15	100%	15	100%
LT AGI E&I	UPS System Battery Replacements	Condition	60	N/A	5	5	60	100%	60	100%	0	0%
LT AGI E&I	Telemetry HMI Replacements	Condition	307	N/A	15	5	102	33%	20	7%	-82	-27%
LT AGI E&I	Net 0 - Smart Systems	Biomethane Entry	0	N/A	15	5	0	0%	19	100%	19	100%
LT AGI E&I	Field Asset Equipment Failures	Condition	10	N/A	5	5	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%
LT AGI E&I	Heat Exchanger Burst Disc Replacements	Condition	60	N/A	5	5	60	100%	60	100%	0	0%
LT AGI E&I	Solar Panel Installations	Environmental	0	N/A	25	5	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
LT AGI E&I	P4T & P6T Instrument Impulse Valve replacem	Safety & Compliance	27	N/A	15	5	0	0%	18	67%	18	67%

Table 9 (extract from Appendix J)

Results from both maintenance and inspection routines, asset site audits and equipment fault records all feed into a lifecycle management tool we have developed to rating specific asset groups as red, amber or green for Electrical and Instrumentation equipment on each site.

Whilst looking at a nominal asset lifecycle for a given asset, the tool also takes into account the condition and performance of the equipment, allowing equipment in some cases to be operated beyond its expected

lifecycle without compromising safety and reliability, thus offering improved return on investment and a risk-based programme of intervention.

Table 10 shows the Intervention RAG rating (Red, Amber, Green) across the population of E&I assets, giving a priority for intervention as follows: **Red** – Urgent, **Amber** – Plan, **Green** – Monitor.

Our GD3 plan will remediate all equipment rated Red and the majority of equipment rated Amber in the Lifecycle Management tool, whilst continuing to monitor other assets with ongoing inspection and maintenance routines.

The file in appendix A, offers both asset health data and fault data pertinent to each asset group planned for replacement for Condition, Safety and Compliance in our RIIO GD3 business plan for LTS AGI (E&I): Offtakes, PRI's and Storage. This is also shown in part below in Table 10.

Submission	Asset Type	Intervention Driver	Asset Data	Avg replacement per Price control	RIIO:GD3 Submission	Faults	No. Intervention Rating Rag			
			Asset Numbers	Volume per control	Volume Requested		R	A	R&A	G
LT AGI E&I	MAINS AND SUB MAINS DISTRIBUTION	Condition	307	61	23	493	0	30	30	277
LT AGI E&I	LIGHTING	Condition	307	77	42	158	0	76	76	231
LT AGI E&I	MAINS INTAKE	Condition	307	61	24	69	0	31	31	276
LT AGI E&I	SECURITY CCTV INSTALLATION	Condition	20	7	5	5	0	18	18	2
LT AGI E&I	GENERATOR STANDBY POWER SYSTEM	Condition	35	12	3	40	3	0	3	32
LT AGI E&I	LGT SYSTEM	Condition	17	4	5	391	5	0	5	12
LT AGI E&I	GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY	Condition	16	4	1	79	0	1	1	15
LT AGI E&I	FIELD INSTRUMENT	Condition	307	102	52	694	9	57	66	241
LT AGI E&I	FISCAL METERING	Condition	17	6	5	26	4	1	5	12
LT AGI E&I	Type 2 SPD Installations	Safety & Compliance	307	61	50	No Current Fault Data / Not fault driven				
LT AGI E&I	Replace Gas Chromatograph Secondary Instrumentation	Condition	16	5	5	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LT AGI E&I	SCOFF Sites to SCADA	Supply Resilience	0	0	15	No Current Fault Data / Not fault driven				
LT AGI E&I	UPS System Battery Replacements	Condition	60	60	60	783	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LT AGI E&I	Telemetry HMI Replacements	Condition	307	102	20	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LT AGI E&I	Net 0 - Smart Systems	Biomethane Entry	0	0	19	No Current Fault Data / Not fault driven				
LT AGI E&I	Field Asset Equipment Failures	Condition	10	10	10	67	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LT AGI E&I	Heat Exchanger Burst Disc Replacements	Condition	60	60	60	23	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
LT AGI E&I	Solar Panel Installations	Environmental	0	0	5	No Current Fault Data / Not fault driven				
LT AGI E&I	P4T & P6T Instrument Impulse Valve replacements	Safety & Compliance	27	0	18	No Current Fault Data / Not fault driven				

Table 10 (Extract from Appendix J)

In some cases, fault numbers far exceed the volume of interventions proposed, this is because multiple faults may have been highlighted on the same system or may have been remediated through repair or general operational costs. An example of this would be labels missing from equipment, lamp out on a given light fitting or battery terminal corroded, all of which are repaired during routine maintenance.

It should also be noted that in places we have requested less interventions than are rated Red or Amber, this is because some Amber items have been planned for intervention beyond the end of GD3 to maintain a broadly constant workload across years. In the interim period the risk will continue to be managed through additional inspection and maintenance through GD3.

3.4 Electrical and Instrumentation <7bar Legislative compliance driven work

The final part of our E&I intervention programme relates to equipment installed on the below 7bar network. In GD2 this was detailed as part of the Pressure Management and Governor submission, however as it is Electrical and Instrumentation work we have included it in the E&I EJP in our RIIO GD3 submission.

Table 11 shows the proposed investment of £[REDACTED] in RIIO GD3 for this asset group, as compared to £1,966,531 in RIIO GD2. Whilst this represents a [REDACTED]% increase from RIIO GD2, equipment costs have increased and replacement hasn't kept up with the level required to maintain the reliability of this equipment and lifecycle analysis, typically 1519 over a five-year period.

Table 11

<7 Bar Pressure Management E&I	GD2 Cost	% Cost	GD3 Cost	% Cost
Condition	£1,966,531	100%		100%
	£1,966,531	100.00%		100.00%

The shortfall on GD2 has delivered savings to the consumer, but is unsustainable and needs to be recovered in GD3, hence the proposed increase in particular for replacement loggers shown in table 12.

<7 Bar Pressure Management E&I	Average Vol per control	GD2 Vol	% Vol	GD3 Vol	% Vol
Condition	1519	1190	100%	1765	100%
	1519	1190	100.00%	1765	100.00%

Table 12

These assets not only help manage the distribution network pressures directly feeding customers, but they are also critical for ongoing analysis of the network to drive timely reinforcement intervention. These assets also provide network monitoring and alarms, informing network response to pressure problems before consumers are affected, providing overall network resilience where time critical response is imperative to ensure continued gas supply to customers.

Table 13 provides an overview of workload volumes in GD3 against the average numbers we would expect in GD3 based on lifecycle analysis, similar to the illustration provided in section 3.3 Table 9 for LTS E&I assets.

Submission	Asset Type	Intervention Driver	Asset Data			Avg replacement per Price control		RIIO:GD3 Submission		Variance	
			Asset Numbers	Average Age	Lifecycle	% per control	Volume per control	Volume Requested	% per Control	Volume Diff	% Diff
<7Bar PM	DG ELECTRICAL REBUILD	Condition	36	2012	25	20%	7	5	14%	-2	-6%
<7Bar PM	PRESSURE MANAGEMENT EQUIPMENT	Condition	3987	Various	15	33%	1329	1580	40%	251	6%
<7Bar PM	VALIDATION LOGGERS	Condition	548	Various	15	33%	183	180	33%	-3	0%

Table 13 (Extract from Appendix J)

The higher than average workload for pressure management logging and control equipment can be seen on the second row of the table.

District Governor (DG) Electrical rebuilds are also detailed separately to ensure they are separated from the LTS AGI Electrical rebuilds detailed earlier in this document. In the same manner as described in section 3.3, Intervention RAG rating (Red, Amber, Green) refers to the priority of intervention as follows: **Red** – Urgent, **Amber** – Plan, **Green** – Monitor.

Our intervention programme will remediate all sites rated Amber in our Lifecycle Management tool, we will continue to monitor other assets with ongoing inspection and maintenance routines, as shown in Table 14 below. This workload will fully replace the electrical equipment on five of the 36 district governor sites with electrical supply and equipment.

Submission	Asset Type	Intervention Driver	Asset Data	Avg replacement per Price control	RIIO:GD3 Submission	Faults	No. Intervention Rating Rag			
			Asset Numbers	Volume per control		ESS Fault Raised	R	A	R&A	G
<7Bar PM	DG ELECTRICAL REBUILD	Condition	36	7	5	35	0	5	5	31
<7Bar PM	PRESSURE MANAGEMENT EQUIPMENT	Condition	3987	1329	1580	1039	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<7Bar PM	VALIDATION LOGGERS	Condition	548	183	180	128	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 14 (Extract from Appendix J)

4.0 Conclusion

Following feedback in the WWU Draft Determinations and the Bilateral meeting between Ofgem and WWU on 5th August 2025, the detailed explanations and additional data requested are provided in this Annex document and its appendices. This provides the supplementary information requested to support inclusion of the workload included in our LTS AGI (E&I): Offtakes, PRI's and Storage EJD in the GD3 Final Proposals.

5.0 Appendices

Appendix J

Appendix WWUQ8J- RIIO GD3 E&I EJP Asset Health Data



Appendix WWUQ8J-
RIIO GD3 E&I EJP Asset

Appendix K

Appendix WWUQ8K- Update Notice of Changes in OMNI



Appendix WWUQ8K-
RTU General EOL Not

Appendix L

Appendix WWUQ8L- FW Discontinuation letter FLOWSIC600



Appendix WWUQ8L-
FW Discontinuation le

Appendix M

Appendix WWUQ8M- Update Notice of Changes in OMNI



Appendix WWUQ8M-
Update Notice of Cha

Appendix N

Appendix WWUQ8N- Product Obsolescence Notice



Appendix WWUQ8N-
Product Obsolescence

Appendix O

Appendix WWUW8O- RE EXT FW Faulty Watson Smith 422 ex IP unit delivery date for new units



Appendix WWUW8O-
RE EXT FW Faulty Wat